

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/960,172	09/960,172 09/21/2001		Chun-Hsiang Chiang	A1-082 US	A1-082 US 4260	
23683	7590	06/26/2003				
MOLEX I			EXAMINER			
2222 WELLINGTON COURT LISLE, IL 60532				LEON, EDWIN A		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2833		
				DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/960,172	CHIANG, CHUN-HS	IANG				
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Edwin A. León	2833					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate ext fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.	, ,		d				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does No	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:						
Claim(s) allowed: 23.							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15 and 17-22</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is			niner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:							
	BEST AVAILABLE	COPY					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to Applicant's arguments regarding Claims 1, 9, 15 and 21 that the Yang reference doesn't show the tail of each of the terminals extending out of the rear side of the connector body, it is the Examiner's opinion that the combination of Yang and Yoshihito et al. would show the tail of each of the terminals extending out of the rear side of the connector body since Yang discloses the terminals (11) having a tail (Fig. 3) extended out of one end of the connector body (1) and in combination with the features shown in Yoshihito et al., this end of the connector body would become the rear side of the connector. It is the Examiner's opinion that the combination of the two references would meet Applicant's claims.

P. AUSTIN BRADLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

BEST AVAILABLE COPY